

## D. Strange Queries

Editorial

The problem is stated very simple. You are given an array  $A[1, \dots, N]$  of  $N$  integers. You have to answer  $Q$  queries about the array. The answer for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  query is the number of pairs of indices  $(i, j)$ , such that  $L_1 \leq i \leq R_1$ ,  $L_2 \leq j \leq R_2$  and  $A_i = A_j$ .

First, as often in problems with range queries, we will simplify a result of a single  $\text{query}(L_1, R_1, L_2, R_2)$  to the result of  $\text{query}(1, R_1, 1, R_2) - \text{query}(1, R_1, 1, L_2 - 1) - \text{query}(1, R_2, L_1 - 1) + \text{query}(1, L_1 - 1, 1, L_2 - 1)$ . We can do this, because a result for a single query is just the number of indices.

Since,  $N \leq 10^5$ , obviously we cannot use the a  $O(N^2)$  method. However,  $N$  is small enough to use the method called **square root decomposition** of queries.

The first step in our solution, is to precompute the answer for some queries. Let's call  $K$  a block size. Then let  $p_{i,j}$  be the answer for a query with the right endpoint of the first range at index  $i \cdot K$ , where  $1 \leq i \cdot K \leq N$ , and the right endpoint of the second range at any index  $1 \leq j \leq N$ . Notice that we can easily precompute the whole  $p$  table in  $O(N \cdot K)$  time. This is true, because there are total of  $N \cdot K$  entries in  $p$ , and the value of  $p_{i,j}$  can be computed from  $p_{i,j-1}$  in constant time by using counters of values implemented as an array. This is possible since all integers in  $A$  are within a range  $[1, N]$ .

So far so good, we can answer queries where one right endpoint is arbitrary and the second one is a multiple of  $K$ . How can we use these precomputed values to answer each query fast enough? We can decouple a single query into a few queries for which we have precomputed answers, and one query which is so small that we can answer it quickly.

In more details, let's consider a single  $\text{query}(1, c_1 \cdot K + r_1, 1, c_2 \cdot K + r_2)$ . We can notice, that the result for this query can be computed as  $\text{query}(1, c_1 \cdot K + r_1, 1, c_2 \cdot K) + \text{query}(1, c_1 \cdot K, 1, c_2 \cdot K + r_2) - \text{query}(1, c_1 \cdot K, 1, c_2 \cdot K) + \text{query}(c_1 \cdot K, c_1 \cdot K + r_1, c_2 \cdot K, c_2 \cdot K + r_2)$ . Each of the first 3 of these queries has at least one right endpoint equal to a multiple of  $K$ , so its value is precomputed in  $p$  table. Both two ranges in the fourth query are not greater than  $K$ , so we can compute the answer it in  $O(K)$  time by simply counting elements occurring in both ranges.

Based on the above observations, we can notice that answering a single query takes  $O(K)$  time, so the total complexity of this solution is  $O(N \cdot K + Q \cdot K)$ , and we can minimize it if we let  $K = \sqrt{N}$ . That is why this kind of approach is called a square root decomposition.